



Comparative Studies of  
**SOUTH ASIA, AFRICA** and the **MIDDLE EA**

**Introduction: Thinking with the Indian Ocean**

Journal:	<i>Comparative Studies of South Asia, Africa and the Middle East</i>
Manuscript ID	Draft
Manuscript Type:	Special Section Article
Keywords:	Indian Ocean, Global Intellectual History, Circulation and Mobility, Media Infrastructures, Islamic Law and Custom, Interconnected Histories
Subject Classifications:	19th Century, 20th Century, Anthropology, Capitalism, Colonialism, Cultural Studies, Global South, History, Indian Ocean, Islam

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

## Introduction: Thinking with the Indian Ocean

Rogaia Abusharaf, Uday Chandra, and Irene Ann Promodh

Over the past five millennia, plants, animals, people, languages, faiths, technologies, and a vast array of raw and manufactured commodities have circulated along the watery highways connecting East Africa with the Persian Gulf, South and Southeast Asia, and China. The deep historical connections, along with the circularities to which they give rise, lead us to conceptualize the Indian Ocean rim as a shared geographical space in which half of humanity resides. Yet, in comparison with the wealth of scholarship on the North Atlantic and Mediterranean worlds,<sup>1</sup> the study of the Indian Ocean is a relatively new field. Since the pioneering historical scholarship of K.N. Chaudhuri, Michael Pearson, Edward Alpers, and Sugata Bose put the Indian Ocean rim on the global academic map, two generations of scholars have worked assiduously to unsettle long-established modes of thought and transcend the limits imposed by contemporary national borders and traditional area studies.

Since 2014, the Indian Ocean Working Group (IOWG) at Georgetown University, Qatar has brought together academics on both campuses and worldwide to build collaborative expertise beyond the boundaries of traditional area studies. In thinking critically about the Indian Ocean, Doha offers a distinctive vantage point at the crossroads between landmasses labeled conventionally as “Asia,” “Africa,” the “Middle East,” and indeed, Europe and the Americas. As we work with new archives, fieldsites, and interlocutors, we have endeavored to consolidate

---

<sup>1</sup> See, for instance, Bailyn, *Atlantic History*; Gillis, *Islands of the Mind*; Braudel, *The Mediterranean and the Mediterranean World*; Abulafia, *The Great Sea*.

1  
2  
3 existing knowledge as well as create new knowledge on the myriad circularities that define the  
4  
5 past and present of the Indian Ocean.<sup>2</sup>  
6  
7

8           In this special section, we propose to build on the dynamic new field of Indian Ocean  
9  
10 studies to rethink key concepts such as space and circulation (Prestholdt), gender and kinship  
11  
12 (Kooria), and popular media and infrastructure (Mannil). We draw on fresh interdisciplinary  
13  
14 insights from our contributors' archives and fieldwork to critically interrogate these concepts  
15  
16 from a distinctive intellectual vantage point. Historians and anthropologists based in different  
17  
18 parts of the globe come together in this special section to "think with the Indian Ocean." We take  
19  
20 a concept that is in wide currency in the humanities and social sciences, and then, re-imagine it  
21  
22 creatively in the contexts that we study. In doing so, we decenter familiar ways of seeing and  
23  
24 knowing, and offer a new decolonial lens to make sense of the circularities and connections that  
25  
26 constitute our world. For instance, global histories of slavery, servitude, and forced labor, as  
27  
28 Jeremy Prestholdt argues, must take seriously not only the European presence in the early  
29  
30 modern Indian Ocean, but the circuits of capital and commodity flows that, since the late  
31  
32 fifteenth century, conjoin littoral societies in East Africa and South and Southeast Asia with the  
33  
34 Atlantic and Mediterranean worlds. Similarly, Mahmood Koria argues that our inherited  
35  
36 understandings of Islamic law and reform cannot be grounded only in classical textual traditions  
37  
38 of jurisprudence, but must reckon seriously with the matrilineal customs of Indian Ocean  
39  
40 societies as far apart as the Comoros Islands, Kerala, and the Indonesian archipelago. Lastly,  
41  
42 Bindu Menon Mannil shows persuasively that dhow boats used to crisscross the Arabian Sea for  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54

---

55  
56 <sup>2</sup> Oruc and Lionnet, "Indian Ocean Literary Circularities": Chandra et al, *Oceanic Circularities*.  
57  
58

centuries are today a kind of infrastructure whose poetics and imagery enter into Gulf Malayali popular songs and films.

### Why Think With the Indian Ocean?

When KN Chaudhuri deployed a Braudelian lens to posit the existence of a unified oceanic space since the advent of Islam, similarities and differences with the Atlantic and Mediterranean worlds were implicit in his magisterial historical surveys.<sup>3</sup> Studies of the Indian Ocean dovetailed nicely with the “new thalassology,”<sup>4</sup> a growing approach to rethinking global history through the water bodies that connect societies often deemed to belong to disparate regions understood conventionally as, say, “Europe,” “South Asia” or the “Middle East.”<sup>5</sup> This oceanic turn in writing history focused on old and new connections between peoples and places and the forms of socio-spatial mobility beyond the fixed, immovable nation-states that came to dominate the post-WWII era.<sup>6</sup> In retrospect, writing this new kind of global history may be seen arguably as a way of reflecting critically on earlier eras of globalization before our own.

Such a fruitful dialogue between the maritime past and the present has, in the past two decades, called into question the anthropological assumptions that underlay traditional area studies in the Anglo-American academy.<sup>7</sup> It has led to a critical reexamination of what constitutes a “region” or “space,” and how it is produced or imagined in and outside scholarly

---

<sup>3</sup> Chaudhuri, *Trade and Civilisation in the Indian Ocean*; Chaudhuri, *Asia Before Europe*.

<sup>4</sup> Vink, “Indian Ocean Studies and the ‘New Thalassology’”; Horden and Purcell, “The Mediterranean and ‘the New Thalassology’”.

<sup>5</sup> Green, “Rethinking the ‘Middle East’ After the Oceanic Turn”; Low, “Introduction: The Indian Ocean and Other Middle East.”

<sup>6</sup> Ho, “Inter-Asian Concepts for Mobile Societies.”

<sup>7</sup> Ho, “Empire through Diasporic Eyes”; Sheriff and Ho, *The Indian Ocean*.

1  
2  
3 writing.<sup>8</sup> Beyond the boundaries of traditional area studies generated by modern European  
4  
5 empires and the Cold War, where, after all, does “Asia” end and “Africa” begin? Similarly, if the  
6  
7 Indian Ocean was once an Islamic sea, writes Edward Alpers, it became a “British lake” after c.  
8  
9 1850 even as older circularities and connections were transformed in the age of modern  
10  
11 imperialism.<sup>9</sup> To rethink precolonial and colonial histories is, of course, to reimagine the present,  
12  
13 too, as “Inter-Asian,” “Afro-Asian” and so on. Although we rely on critical conceptions of  
14  
15 transnationalism, translocality, and globalization today<sup>10</sup> to understand and accommodate  
16  
17 multicultural diversity in North Atlantic democracies, historical scholarship on the Indian Ocean  
18  
19 rim pushes us much farther to grapple with the legacies of and linkages between longstanding  
20  
21 diasporic communities,<sup>11</sup> imperial networks,<sup>12</sup> anti-colonial nationalists,<sup>13</sup> and decolonial  
22  
23 imaginaries.<sup>14</sup> To think with the Indian Ocean is, therefore, not to provincialize our received  
24  
25 notions of space and time, but to reconsider and reinvigorate them in understanding how  
26  
27 “regions” are made, unmade, and remade in different ways over time.  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

34         The Indian Ocean, in Levi-Strauss’s terms, is “good to think with” because it pushes us to  
35  
36 interrogate our inherited ideas of modernity and identity and, hence, to challenge hegemonic  
37  
38 modes of thought centered in the North Atlantic world or trapped in the straitjackets of  
39  
40 methodological nationalism.<sup>15</sup> Yet the counter-hegemonic nature of scholarship on Indian Ocean  
41  
42 mobilities should not lead us to see it as an exceptional or exclusivist space. “Vernacular  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

---

48 <sup>8</sup> Duara, “Asia Redux.”

49 <sup>9</sup> Alpers, “On Becoming a British Lake.”

50 <sup>10</sup> Glick Schiller, Basch, and Blanc-Szanton, “Towards a Definition of Transnationalism”; Appadurai, “The  
51 Production of Locality”; Appadurai, *Modernity at Large*.

52 <sup>11</sup> Ho, *The Graves of Tarrim*.

53 <sup>12</sup> Metcalf, *Imperial Connections*.

54 <sup>13</sup> Bose, *A Hundred Horizons*.

55 <sup>14</sup> Desai, *Commerce with the Universe*.

56 <sup>15</sup> Hofmeyr, “The Complicating Sea”; Burton et al, “Sea Tracks and Trails.”  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 cosmopolitanisms”<sup>16</sup> and “engaged universals”<sup>17</sup> coexist in this fuzzily-bounded space, which is  
4  
5 simultaneously shared, hierarchical, and fractured.<sup>18</sup> As KN Chaudhuri reminded us a generation  
6  
7 ago, it is scarcely hard to believe that the “spicy” dishes that are famous across the Indian Ocean  
8  
9 rim today could scarcely be conceived without the chili peppers that the Portuguese brought with  
10  
11 them from South America.<sup>19</sup> In much the same vein, we now know, contrary to earlier  
12  
13 Eurocentric analyses of world-systems, that the workings of modern capitalism owe a great deal  
14  
15 to the manner in which European company-states and indigenous merchants worked in tandem in  
16  
17 the Indian Ocean.<sup>20</sup> Far from being a backwater of the modern world, the Indian Ocean, whose  
18  
19 rim is home to roughly half of humanity today, lies at the heart of contemporary global political  
20  
21 economy. Accordingly, to think with the Indian Ocean is to question our theoretical certitudes,  
22  
23 and ultimately, to initiate new ways of seeing our shared past and present.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30

### 31 **The Papers**

32  
33  
34 The three papers in this themed section together demonstrate the value of “thinking  
35  
36 with the Indian Ocean.” They range in time from the early modern period to the postcolonial  
37  
38 present, in terms of scholarly location in the US, EU, and India, and across the disciplines of  
39  
40 history, anthropology, and cultural studies. The contributors to this section draw on their varied  
41  
42 expertise to reflect critically on how the Indian Ocean modifies or complicates concepts and  
43  
44 theories in their respective fields. On the surface, the three papers appear to share little in  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

---

51 <sup>16</sup> Werbner, “Vernacular Cosmopolitanism.”

52 <sup>17</sup> Tsing, *Friction*.

53 <sup>18</sup> Amrith, *Crossing the Bay of Bengal*.

54 <sup>19</sup> Chaudhuri, “The Portuguese Maritime Empire.”

55 <sup>20</sup> Subramanian, “Baniyas and the British”; Asaratnam, *Maritime India in the Seventeenth Century*; Bishara, *A Sea of*  
56 *Debt*; Machado, *Ocean of Trade*.

1  
2  
3 common. Yet there are latent interconnections that are worth fleshing out in this introduction.  
4  
5 First, the objects and people that move between the eastern seaboard of Africa to the Persian  
6  
7 Gulf and the mainland and island archipelagos of “Monsoon Asia”<sup>21</sup> trace many-layered  
8  
9 circularities that tie together seemingly disparate yet interlocking littoral societies. Second,  
10  
11 notions of trade and commerce as much as gender and kinship or media and infrastructure must  
12  
13 be revised in the light of these Indian Ocean circularities insofar as they speak directly and  
14  
15 critically to global intellectual histories and to the extent that they situate translocal and  
16  
17 interregional connections within wider global circuits of ideas, social relations, and commodities.  
18  
19 Third, the papers in this section push us to consider processes of integration as well as  
20  
21 fragmentation generated by interconnected societies so that we do not lose sight of the  
22  
23 hierarchies of scales and values embodied in the transoceanic encounters of Malayalis in the  
24  
25 Gulf, matrilineal communities and the textual orthodoxies of classical Islam, and Malagasy and  
26  
27 Comorians embedded in global economies of forced labor and slavery. Connections, in sum, may  
28  
29 imply cosmopolitanism in terms of the meeting of strangers but they may also entail domination  
30  
31 and subordination. Oceanic circularities compel us, therefore, to rethink our cherished ideas  
32  
33 about the making of the modern world through decentered and decolonial lenses, albeit without a  
34  
35 naive, celebratory sense of the Indian Ocean as somehow benign and amicable in comparison  
36  
37 with the Atlantic and Mediterranean worlds.  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

45  
46 In “Global Currents and the Transformation of Space in Indian Ocean Africa,” Jeremy  
47  
48 Prestholdt looks at oceanic space as a mutually-constituted set of overlapping subspaces that  
49  
50 interact and shift over time as well as facilitate the formation of “layered circularities.” The  
51  
52  
53  
54

---

55  
56 <sup>21</sup> Henley and Wickramasinghe, *Monsoon Asia*.  
57  
58

1  
2  
3 dynamic, multi-directional nature of human interaction in the Indian Ocean basin, argues  
4  
5 Prestholdt, lends us emic perspectives, whether African, Arab or Asian, on the myriad meanings  
6  
7 of this oceanic space that coexist uneasily with etic views based on fixed coordinates. Prestholdt  
8  
9 avoids attributing a unitary essence to this space à la Braudel and Chaudhuri, and delineates the  
10  
11 making of translocal and transregional circularities that define the Indian Ocean. Both integration  
12  
13 and fragmentation matter here as we grapple with multiple articulations of scale (global,  
14  
15 transregional, regional, translocal, local) and multiple foci of exchange and interaction in  
16  
17 delineating the spatial parameters of the Indian Ocean. As Prestholdt puts it, “To varying  
18  
19 degrees, each point along the Indian Ocean’s rim has been integrated with a greater diversity of  
20  
21 regional and global networks over time.” Viewing this vast oceanic expanse from the eastern  
22  
23 seaboard of the African continent permits us to decenter the Indo-centric lenses of an earlier  
24  
25 generation of historians of South Asia, while at the same time centering Indian Ocean Africa in  
26  
27 global histories of slavery and capitalism.  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

34 As a global historian who views the Indian Ocean from its East African rim, Prestholdt  
35  
36 urges us to rethink the meanings of globalization afresh. Through his exploration of a wide range  
37  
38 of examples of slavery and forced labor, we can see how transoceanic exchanges between eastern  
39  
40 and southern Africa, the Arabian peninsula, and the Indian subcontinent brought together  
41  
42 disparate subspaces in the western Indian Ocean. The circulation of slaves as property, moreover,  
43  
44 shows how the early modern Atlantic and Indian Oceans bled into each other. Regional and  
45  
46 interregional trade received a new fillip with the arrival of the Portuguese and other European  
47  
48 merchants to the extent that, for the first time in human history, a truly global world-system came  
49  
50 into existence. For Prestholdt, the significance of this momentous historical conjuncture lies  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 above all in the ability of Africans located in the Comoros Islands, Zanzibar, Cape Town,  
4  
5 Mozambique, Madagascar, and the Mascarenes to participate as key actors situated betwixt and  
6  
7 between the Atlantic and Indian Ocean worlds. Beyond trade, we can recognize today how  
8  
9 marriages between American pirates and Malagasy slaves or transactions between the Comorian  
10  
11 Sultan and Portuguese merchants led to new forms of “world-making.”<sup>22</sup> It is striking that, as  
12  
13 Prestholdt points out, that the earliest known instance of written Afrikaans is in Arabic script.  
14  
15 These historical imbrications between diverseregions within and beyond the Indian Ocean are  
16  
17 equally visible in the everyday worlds of African commodity consumption. Since the sixteenth  
18  
19 century, New World silver and European weaponry as much as textiles, especially those made of  
20  
21 pure unbleached cotton, were in great demand among Indian Ocean Africans.<sup>23</sup> The circulation  
22  
23 of American or *merikani* cloth and its Indian and Japanese counterparts in East Africa, in  
24  
25 particular, reminds us to situate Indian Ocean Africa at the center of global histories today  
26  
27 without necessarily falling back into the old tendencies of imperial historiographies centered  
28  
29 around North Atlantic nodes and networks. Ultimately, the Indian Ocean trade in people and  
30  
31 things push to rethinking how spaces are constituted, connected, fractured, and reconstituted over  
32  
33 time. There is clearly much more to globalization than the incursions of Europe, historical or  
34  
35 hyperreal, into the rest of the world.  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43

44 Mahmood Kooria’s paper, “When Men Get No Share: Matrilineal Muslims and Their  
45  
46 Laws of Succession,” sheds new light on our received ideas of *gender* and *kinship* from the  
47  
48 perspective of maritime Muslims in the Indian Ocean from Minangkabau in Indonesia to the  
49  
50 Coromandel and Malabar coasts of peninsular India and along the Swahili coast. Against British  
51  
52  
53

---

54  
55 <sup>22</sup> Getachew, *Worldmaking After Empire*.

56 <sup>23</sup> Prestholdt, *Domesticating the World*.

1  
2  
3 colonial and Quranic expectations of patriarchy, patrilineality, and patrilocality, the Muslims of  
4 Malabar or their counterparts in the Malay and Sulu archipelagos follow a matriarchal,  
5  
6 matrilineal, and matrilocal system of kinship, marriage, and inheritance. This distinctive  
7  
8 approach to kinship has, historically speaking, favored local women, who controlled land and the  
9  
10 homestead as immovable property. At the same time, this kinship system also worked to the  
11  
12 advantage of itinerant men, who visited the Malabar coast as traders, missionaries or sea  
13  
14 adventurers. Raised in their maternal homes according to matrilineal norms, the offspring of  
15  
16 these unions were simultaneously local Muslims and Arab descendants. Similar to the creative  
17  
18 rethinking of space and circulation by Prestholdt, Kooria shows how the sociocultural logics of  
19  
20 kinship among maritime Muslims reimagine Islamic law and jurisprudence on marriage and  
21  
22 inheritance. Over the past two centuries, however, internal and external pressures generated by  
23  
24 Islamic reform movements and colonial regimes threaten to rend asunder centuries-old systems  
25  
26 of matrilineality. For maritime Muslims, therefore, matrilineal systems of kinship are a  
27  
28 precarious adaptation to the mobile worlds of the Indian Ocean that stand in stark contrast to  
29  
30 hegemonic anthropological models of male-dominated kinship since the onset of colonialism.  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38

39 Kooria's paper compels us to rethink the nature of social relations and property, and by  
40  
41 implication, the interplay between customary (*adat*) and textual traditions (*shariat*) of Islam in  
42  
43 the Indian Ocean. As in Prestholdt's paper, here, too, we uncover latent interconnections between  
44  
45 the "religious economies"<sup>24</sup> of disparate littoral and island societies. Space and circulation are  
46  
47 deeply implicated in the constitution of customary laws of inheritance alongside the widespread  
48  
49 adoption of Sunni-Sufi traditions and the Shāfi'ī school of jurisprudence. After all, matrilineal  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54

---

55  
56 <sup>24</sup> Green, *Terrains of Exchange*.  
57  
58

1  
2  
3 Muslims, as Kooria calls them, are the products of transoceanic relations,<sup>25</sup> which are gendered  
4 and, in the eyes of Islamic reformers and their *fatwas* in Monsoon Asia, un-Islamic. By reading  
5 these *fatwas* against the grain, that is, seeking to infer from them the arguments made in favor of  
6 matriliney by female jurists, and by juxtaposing these insights with those from ethnographic  
7 fieldwork, Kooria shows us the vitality of living traditions of property ownership and transfer  
8 among matrilineal Muslims across the Indian Ocean. In some legal arguments against an  
9 understanding of Islamic law that privileges an Arab-centric, patrilineal tradition, matrilineal  
10 Muslims regard customs (*adat*) as the basis of *shariat*, thereby denying any simple binary  
11 opposition between the two. Indeed, the legal scholars interviewed by Kooria insist that  
12 matrilineal inheritance does not in any way violate Quaranic injunctions because *shariah* seeks  
13 to ensure the preservation and reproduction of kinship relations over generations. To compel  
14 women to live without the security of their inherited property would, according to this line of  
15 reasoning, disrupt everyday social relations as well as intergenerational dynamics within a  
16 close-knit Muslim community. As is the case of trade and commerce, the religious economies of  
17 Islamic custom and law in matrilineal societies across the Indian Ocean reveal how spaces  
18 produced by interregional circulation are constituted and connected yet fractured discursively  
19 over time. Islamic law is clearly not reducible to textual traditions developed in the Arabian  
20 peninsula and embraced by colonial and postcolonial reformers in Asia and Africa.

21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46 The final paper in this section deals with transoceanic worlds of both economic and  
47 religious exchange, but focuses on *dhow* boats as well as film and media as two forms of  
48 infrastructure that circulate between the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) states and the Malabar  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54

---

55  
56 <sup>25</sup> Prange, *Monsoon Islam*.

1  
2  
3 coast in southwest India. In her article “Amphibious Media Histories: Dhow in Indian Ocean  
4  
5 Film and Media,” Bindu Menon Mannil urges us to consider the poetics and aesthetics of  
6  
7 infrastructure, whether the *dhow*s themselves used to smuggle commodities from the Persian  
8  
9 Gulf to the western coast of India or the audio and video cassettes through which oral and  
10  
11 cinematic narratives of migration in Malayalam circulate across the Arabian Sea. The distinction  
12  
13 between what is legal and what is licit is significant here. In the latter third of the twentieth  
14  
15 century, Indians, especially Malayalis, traveled for work in the Gulf states, and on their return  
16  
17 journeys, they faced a rigid system of national taxes and duties on commodities ranging from  
18  
19 gold to electronics. Evading capture and confiscation by Indian authorities, a distinctive form of  
20  
21 commodity circulation emerged in the 1970s and 1980s as entire families turned willy nilly into  
22  
23 contraband smugglers. The politics and poetics of infrastructure,<sup>26</sup> as revealed in these  
24  
25 transoceanic circuits of commodity exchange, allow Mannil to turn the spotlight on the  
26  
27 “hydropoetics” of *dhow*s and cassettes as both material and aesthetic objects in motion. As in  
28  
29 Prestholdt’s paper, we find here resonances of “global currents” of interregional circulation and  
30  
31 consumption, and as in Kooria’s contribution, we may discern the salience of everyday lived  
32  
33 experience over abstract notions of law and state authority that guide social relations involving  
34  
35 people and things. Ultimately, for Mannil, the hydropoetics of new media infrastructures hold the  
36  
37 key to a deeper understanding of media modernities, which are, in Ravi Sundaram’s terms,  
38  
39 “pirate modernities”<sup>27</sup> in contemporary South Asia and the rest of the Global South.  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

48 Media objects are special kinds of infrastructure, whether in printed form as Isabel  
49  
50 Hofmeyr has analyzed recently<sup>28</sup> or in audiovisual form as Samhita Sunya shows in her recent  
51  
52

---

53  
54 <sup>26</sup> Larkins, “The Politics and Poetics of Infrastructure.”

55 <sup>27</sup> Sundaram, *Pirate Modernity*

56 <sup>28</sup> Hofmeyr, *Gandhi’s Printing Press*.

1  
2  
3 book.<sup>29</sup> They are things, but not merely things. The embodied aesthetics of experience are tied  
4  
5 closely to the materiality of media goods. *Dhows*, viewed as media infrastructure, are not merely  
6  
7 conduits of contraband commodities across the watery highways of the Arabian Sea. *Dhows* are,  
8  
9 in fact, at the heart of the cinematic portrayal of migration in Malayalam cinema insofar as they  
10  
11 generate pleasure and excitement among viewers even as they torment them with images of  
12  
13 young men leaping behind the comforts of kin and home to find work in inhospitable terrain  
14  
15 across the sea. The media goods that are transported by *dhow*s as well as those that depict *dhow*s,  
16  
17 for instance, audio and video cassettes and their accompanying recorders and players bind  
18  
19 together the Gulf and India in a curious way. These goods mediate, in Mannil's words, an  
20  
21 ambivalent modernity in which the aesthetics and erotics of desire intersect with those of longing  
22  
23 and loss. As film studios and video and DVD libraries have emerged in Dubai as much as Kerala,  
24  
25 the films themselves have come to reflect patterns of interregional mobility and circulation  
26  
27 across the Indian Ocean. The lines dividing the real and the reel are blurry: everyday life itself is  
28  
29 so densely mediatized that its texts and scripts saturate the social imaginaries of mobile people  
30  
31 and interconnected places. The "amphibious histories" of such media infrastructures render the  
32  
33 sea as an actor in its own right that connects as well as fragments families and societies. The  
34  
35 instantaneous movement of soundwaves and moving images across transoceanic spaces has only  
36  
37 recently become commonplace in a digital age. But amphibious media histories of the Indian  
38  
39 Ocean remind us that the making and unmaking of interlocked littoral societies are mediated as  
40  
41 much through things and affect as through the bodies of people on the move.  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54

---

55  
56 <sup>29</sup> Sunya, *Sirens of Modernity*.  
57  
58

To think with the Indian Ocean is, in this sense, an invitation to interrogate and revise received ideas and theories of media as much as kinship and space from a distinctly decolonial and decentered perspective. Ours is far from the last word on the subject. We hope that others will be inspired by the papers in this section to recenter global histories of ideas, capital, and cultures vis-a-vis the interregional circularities that define the extent and limits of the Indian Ocean.

## References

- Abulafia, David. *The Great Sea: A Human History of the Mediterranean*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2011.
- Alpers, Edward. A. "On Becoming a British Lake: Piracy, Slaving, and British Imperialism in the Indian Ocean during the First Half of the Nineteenth Century." In *Indian Ocean Slavery in the Age of Abolition*, edited by Robert Harms, Bernard K Freamon, and David W. Blight, 45-60. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 2013.
- Amrith, Sunil S. *Crossing the Bay of Bengal: The Furies of Nature and the Fortunes of Migrants*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2015.
- Appadurai, Arjun. "The Production of Locality." In *Sociology of Globalization: Cultures, Economies, and Politics*, edited by Keri E. Iyall Smith, 107-116. New York: Routledge, 2013.
- . *Modernity at Large: Cultural Dimensions of Globalization*. Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota Press, 1996.
- Bailyn, Bernard. *Atlantic History: Concept and Contours*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 2005.
- Bishara, Fahad A. *A Sea of Debt: Law and Economic Life in the Western Indian Ocean, 1780-1950*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2017.
- Bose, Sugata. *A Hundred Horizons: The Indian Ocean in the Age of Global Empire*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2006.
- Braudel, Fernand. *The Mediterranean and the Mediterranean World in the Age of Philip II*, Vols. I-II. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press, 1996.
- Burton, Antoinette, et al, "Sea Tracks and Trails: Indian Ocean Worlds as Method." *History Compass* 11, no. 7 (2013): 497-502.
- Chandra, Uday, et al. *Oceanic Circularities: Mobile People and Connected Places in the Indian Ocean*. Washington, D.C.: Georgetown University Press, forthcoming.
- Chaudhuri, K.N. *Asia Before Europe: Economy and Civilisation of the Indian Ocean from the Rise of Islam to 1750*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1991.

- 1  
2  
3 —. *Trade and Civilisation in the Indian Ocean: An Economic History from the Rise of Islam to 1750*. Cambridge:  
4 Cambridge University Press, 1985.  
5  
6 Desai, Gaurav. *Commerce with the Universe: Africa, India, and the Afraisan Imagination*. New York: Columbia  
7 University Press, 2013.  
8  
9 Duara, Prasenjit. "Asia Redux: Conceptualizing a Region for Our Times." *The Journal of Asian Studies* 69, no. 4  
10 (2010): 963-83.  
11  
12 Getachew, Adom. *Worldmaking After Empire: The Rise and Fall of Self-Determination*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton  
13 University Press, 2019.  
14  
15 Gillis, John. *Islands of the Mind: How the Human Imagination Created the Atlantic World*. New York: Palgrave  
16 Macmillan, 2004.  
17  
18 Glick Schiller, Basch, and Blanc-Szanton, "Towards a Definition of Transnationalism: Introductory Remarks and  
19 Research Questions." *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 645, no. 1 (1992): ix-xiv.  
20  
21 Green, Nile. *Terrains of Exchange: Religious Economies of Global Islam*. New York: Oxford, 2015.  
22  
23 —. "Rethinking the "Middle East" After the Oceanic Turn." *Comparative Studies in South Asia, Africa, and the  
24 Middle East* 34, no. 3 (2014): 556–64.  
25  
26 Henley, D.E.F., and Nira Wickramasinghe, eds. *Monsoon Asia: A Reader on South and Southeast Asia*. Amsterdam:  
27 University of Amsterdam Press, 2023.  
28  
29 Ho, Engseng. "Inter-Asian Concepts for Mobile Societies," *The Journal of Asian Studies* 76, no. 4 (2017): 907-28.  
30  
31 —. *The Graves of Tarim: Genealogy and Mobility Across the Indian Ocean*. Berkeley, CA: University of California  
32 Press, 2006.  
33  
34 —. "Empire through Diasporic Eyes: A View from the Other Boat." *Comparative Studies in Society and History* 46,  
35 no. 2 (2004): 210-46.  
36  
37 Hofmeyr, Isabel. *Gandhi's Printing Press: Experiments in Slow Reading*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press,  
38 2013.  
39  
40 —. "The Complicating Sea: The Indian Ocean as Method." *Comparative Studies of South Asia, Africa and the  
41 Middle East* 32, no. 3 (2012): 584–90.  
42  
43 Horden, Peregrine, and Nicholas Purcell. "The Mediterranean and 'the New Thalassology.'" *The American  
44 Historical Review* 111, no. 3 (2006): 722-40.  
45  
46 Larkins, Brian. "The Politics and Poetics of Infrastructure." *Annual Review of Anthropology* 42 (2013): 327-43.  
47  
48 Low, M. Christopher. 2014. "Introduction: The Indian Ocean and Other Middle Easts," *Comparative Studies in  
49 South Asia, Africa, and the Middle East* 34, no. 3 (2014): 549-55.  
50  
51 Machdo, Pedro. *Ocean of Trade: South Asian Merchants, Africa and the Indian Ocean, c. 1750–1850*. Cambridge:  
52 Cambridge University Press, 2014.  
53  
54 Metcalf, Thomas R. *Imperial Connections: India in the Indian Ocean Arena, 1860-1920*. Berkeley, CA: University  
55 of California Press, 2008.  
56  
57 Oruc, Firat, and Françoise Lionnet, eds. "Indian Ocean Literary Circularities." *Comparative Literature* 74, no. 2  
58 (2022).  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Prange, Sebastian. *Monsoon Islam: Trade and Faith on the Medieval Malabar Coast*. Cambridge: Cambridge  
4 University Press, 2018.

5  
6 Prestholdt, Jeremy. *Domesticating the World: African Consumerism and the Genealogies of Globalization*.  
7 Berkeley, CA: University of California Press, 2008.

8  
9 Sheriff, Abdul, and Engseng Ho, eds. *The Indian Ocean: Oceanic Connections and the Creation of New Societies*.  
10 London: Hurst & Co., 2014.

11  
12 Sundaram, Ravi. *Pirate Modernity: Delhi's Media Urbanism*. New Delhi: Routledge, 2009.

13  
14 Sunya, Samhita. *Sirens of Modernity: World Cinema via Bombay*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press,  
15 2022.

16  
17 Vink, Markus P.M. "Indian Ocean Studies and the 'New Thalassology.'" *Journal of Global History* 2, no.1 (2007):  
18 41-62.

19  
20 Werbner, Prena. "Vernacular Cosmopolitanism." *Theory, Culture & Society* 23, no. 2-3: 496-98.

21  
22 Tsing, Anna L. *Friction: An Ethnography of Global Connection*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 2005.  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60